

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                 | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/668,929                      | 09/23/2003     | Katsumasa Yoshii     | 9281-4666 3347          |                  |  |
| 75                              | 590 03/19/2004 | EXAMINER             |                         |                  |  |
| Gustavo Siller                  | r, Jr.         | NGUYEN, HOAN C       |                         |                  |  |
| Brinks Hofer G<br>P.O. BOX 1039 |                | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER            |                  |  |
| Chicago, IL 60610               |                |                      | 2871                    |                  |  |
|                                 |                |                      | DATE MAILED: 03/19/2004 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | <u></u>  |  |   |   |                                       |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
|  |  | Application  | n No.   | Applicant(s)  | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  |  | 10/668,92  | 9   | YOSHII ET AL.   |                                       |  |  |  |
|  |  | Examiner   |   | Art Unit  |                                       |  |  |  |
|  |  | HOAN C.  |   | 2871  |                                       |  |  |  |
| Period fo  | The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply  | n appears on the   | cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence addi  | ress                                  |  |  |  |
| THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failt Any  | MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | ION. FR 1.136(a). In no even on. The period will apply and will apply apply apply and will apply ap | ent, however, may a reply be tin<br>story minimum of thirty (30) day<br>of expire SIX (6) MONTHS from<br>ication to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | munication.                           |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |  |   |   |                                       |  |  |  |
| 1)   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on  |  |   |   |                                       |  |  |  |
|  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  |  |   |   |                                       |  |  |  |
| 3)□  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |   |   |                                       |  |  |  |
| Disposit   | ion of Claims  |  |   |   |                                       |  |  |  |
| 5)□<br>6)⊠<br>7)□  | Claim(s) 17-23 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-16 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 17-23 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |  |   |   |                                       |  |  |  |
| Applicat   | tion Papers  |  |   |   |                                       |  |  |  |
| 9)[  | The specification is objected to by the Exa  | aminer.  |   |   |                                       |  |  |  |
| 10)[   | 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.   |  |   |   |                                       |  |  |  |
|  | Applicant may not request that any objection to  | • • •  | <u> </u>  | ` '   |                                       |  |  |  |
| 11)  | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the countries to be the countries of the countries o | •  | * * *   |   | ` '                                   |  |  |  |
| Priority (   | under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |   |   |                                       |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> |  |  |   |   |                                       |  |  |  |
| Attachmer  | nt(s)  |  |   |   |                                       |  |  |  |
|  | ce of References Cited (PTO-892)   |  | 4) Interview Summary  |   |                                       |  |  |  |
| 3) 🔀 Infor   | ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Ser No(s)/Mail Date 9/23/03.  |  | Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:   |   | 152)                                  |  |  |  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

# **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the feature of "the reflector serves as a transparent electrode" in claims 22 and 23 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 22 and 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 22 and 23 recite "the reflector serves as a transparent electrode". However, the reflector is not transparent. The specification discloses "a transparent electrode 16 formed of an ITO (Indium Tin Oxide) film" (paragraph 74<sup>th</sup>), wherein ITO film is not a reflector. Please correct the specification and claims. Moreover, the reflector serves as a electrode for reducing thickness/weight of LCD and increasing image quality due to reflecting directly from the electrode.

Application/Control Number: 10/668,929 Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Akins et al. (US6285425B1).

In regard to claim 17, Akins et al. teach (Figs. 2 and 5-6) a liquid crystal display device comprising a reflector (ridged reflector 110) having a plurality of light reflective concave portions (semi-conical viewing cones) on a surface of a base material (ridged surface 134), each said concave portion having a curved surface with a maximum inclination angle at one side portion thereof so that the one side portion has a larger reflectance magnitude than an opposing side portion (see attachment), and a light reflectance peak at a predetermined angle in accordance with a location of the maximum inclination angle, and that opposes a viewpoint of an observer (see attachment); wherein

- the base material of aluminum is reflective, thereby forming a reflective liquid crystal display device (claim 18).
- the base material is semitransparent and semi-reflective, thereby forming a semitransparent and semi-reflective liquid crystal display device (col. 1 line 67 to col. 2 line 5 and col. 5 lines 55-56 cited "the <u>reflective</u> metallic layer 568 will

Application/Control Number: 10/668,929 Page 4

Art Unit: 2871

become discontinuous and; hence, light transmissive") according to claims 19 and 20.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 17-21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sasaki et al. (US6130736A) in view of Akins et al. (US6285425B1).

Sasaki et al. teach (Fig. 1) a liquid crystal display device comprising:

- a pair of substrates 1/2,
- a liquid crystal layer 3 disposed between the substrates,
- the reflector 14 disposed on one of the substrates,
- a transparent intervening layer (a first overcoat layer 17a) disposed on the reflector,
- a color filter layer 16 disposed on the transparent intervening layer,
- a transparent planarization layer (a second overcoat layer 17b) disposed on the color filter layer,
- a transparent electrode (9 made of ITO (indium-tin-oxide)) disposed on the transparent planarization layer,

Page 5

electrode and the liquid crystal layer.

However, Sasaki et al. fail to teach the reflector with feature in claims 17.

Akins et al. teach the reflector with feature in claim 17 for diffusing in particular direction (see attachment) wherein the base material is semitransparent and semi-reflective, thereby forming a semitransparent and semi-reflective liquid crystal display device for dual mode LCD (col. 1 line 67 to col. 2 line 5 and col. 5 lines 55-56 cited "the reflective metallic layer 568 will become discontinuous and; hence, light transmissive") according to claims 19 and 20.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify a liquid crystal display device as Sasaki et al. disclosed with the reflector with the feature in claims 17-18 for diffusing in particular direction and the features in claims 19-20 for dual-mode LCD.

3. Claims 17, 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akins et al. (US6285425B1).

In regard to claim 17, Akins et al. teach (Figs. 2 and 5-6) a liquid crystal display device comprising a reflector (ridged reflector 110) having a plurality of light reflective concave portions (semi-conical viewing cones) on a surface of a base material (ridged

Art Unit: 2871

surface 134), each said concave portion having a curved surface with a maximum inclination angle at one side portion thereof so that the one side portion has a larger reflectance magnitude than an opposing side portion (see attachment), and a light reflectance peak at a predetermined angle in accordance with a location of the maximum inclination angle, and that opposes a viewpoint of an observer (see attachment);

However, Akins fails to disclose the electrode can be formed as reflector as claims 22 and 23 cited.

In the conventional art, the electrode can be formed as reflector for reducing step manufacturing and thickness/weight of LCD and increasing image quality due to reflecting directly from the electrode.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify a liquid crystal display device as Sasaki et al. disclosed with the electrode being formed as reflector for reducing step manufacturing and thickness/weight of LCD and increasing image quality due to reflection directing from the electrode.

## **Conclusion**

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Application/Control Number: 10/668,929 Page 7

Art Unit: 2871

Mizobata t al. (US6266112B1) disclose a reflective liquid crystal display with a
convex-concave at the reflecting surface of the reflector wherein a fine control of
a shape such as an inclined angle of the convex-concave is difficult.

- Tanada (US6199992B1) discloses a display device using the reflector, which has three-dimensional concavity, such as a <u>spherical</u> concavity, having <u>concave</u> curves in cross section as shown in FIG. 1 or 3.
- Sasaki et al. (US6219120B1) disclose a liquid crystal display with corrugated reflective surface, which are curvature radius less than 100μm, a depth about 0.5-5μm and the width less than 45μm.
- Doriguzzi et al. (US4106859) disclose reflector with light-scattering surface for LCD, wherein chromium layer covering depressions forms reflector.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HOAN C. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571) 272-2296. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY:8:00AM-4:30PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/668,929

Art Unit: 2871

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

chn

HOAN C. NGUYEN Examiner Art Unit 2871

DUNGT. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER